



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/494,801	01/31/2000	Arthur L. Gaudette	INTL-0314-US(P7997)	INTL-0314-US(P7997) 3975	
	7590 05/12/2003				
Timothy N Trop TROP PRUNER HU & MILES P C 8554 Katy Freeway Suite 100 Houston, TX 77024			EXAMINER		
			DETWILER	DETWILER, BRIAN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2173		
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2003	9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, ' <u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/494,801	GAUDETTE, ARTHUR L.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian J Detwiler	2173	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 01 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of th	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in	đ
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		•
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, the event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the mailing of the mailing date of this Adverse on: (1) the mailing date on: (risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I statutory period for reply originally set in on the after the mailing date of the final reje	the final Office action; or (2) as set fort action, even if timely filed, may reduce a	h in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:	•	
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying	the
(d) \square they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	·		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendm	ent
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place th	ıe
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:			
		4	
		JOHN CABECA	_

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 21

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/494,801



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitation of presenting only new material in the current version of a document, as amended to claims 21-23, is a new issue that would require further search and consideration. Applicant's remarks regarding the remaining claims are not persausive. The examiner maintains that a "subract" button for executing the prior art method of differencing a web page is an obvious modication in view of the cited references.